2008 - 2011 SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS PUBLIC LAW 110-343 TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee: Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): Funding Fiscal Year(s):

2. Project Name: Douglas District Stock Tank Cleaning, Maintenance and Reconstruction	3a. State: Arizona 3b. County(s): Cochise
4. Project Submitted By: Joseph Harris, USFS	5. Date: January 4, 2011
6. Contact Phone Number: (520) 364-6821	7. Contact E-mail: josephharris@fs.fed.us

8. Project Location: District wide (Chiricahua, Peloncillo and Dragoon Mountains)				
a. National Forest(s): Coronado Natl. Forest	b. Forest Service District: Douglas Ranger District			
c. Location (Township-Range-Section) District	et Wide			

9. Project Goals and Objectives: To maintain integrity of, remove sediment from and reconstruct where necessary various existing stock tanks across the three mountain ranges across the district. This will allow more water to be available for wildlife, livestock and fire management operations. This will also help to prevent sediment flow into watersheds further downstream.

10. Project Description:

- a. Brief: (*in one sentence*) Obtain funding necessary to partially pay for operation of heavy equipment to remove sediment and rebuild dirt tanks where necessary across the Douglas Ranger District.
- b. Detailed: Over the past several decades, many dirt tanks were built for watering livestock and wildlife across the Douglas Ranger District. Since their construction, however, silt accumulation and flood events have either filled in the retention basins or damaged the earthen dams designed to hold the water in place. In some watersheds where fires have occurred above the tanks, large sediment loads have accumulated in the tanks rendering them useless for wildlife or livestock. By removing the sediment and in some cases rebuilding the retention dams, the water caught by these structures will once more be useful for wildlife, livestock and fire suppression/management activities.

11. Types of Lands Involved? US Forest Service land, with state/private/BLM lands downstream				
State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes Land Status:	X No			
If Yes, specify: See above				

Version: September 2009

12. How does the proposed project meet purpos	es of the Legislation? (Check at least 1)			
X Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.				
X Implements stewardship objectives that enhance fore	st ecosystems.			
X Restores and improves land health.				
X Restores water quality				
a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1)				
Road Maintenance	☐ Trail Maintenance			
Road Decommission/Obliteration	☐ Trail Obliteration			
X Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): Existing	g dirt tanks to be cleaned out/maintained			
Soil Productivity Improvement	X Forest Health Improvement			
X Watershed Restoration & Maintenance	X Wildlife Habitat Restoration			
Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds			
Reestablish Native Species	X Fuels Management/Fire Prevention			
☐ Implement CWPP Project ☐ Other Project Type (specify):				
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1): Existing infrastructure maintenance				
14 11 46 111 44 D 1 4 111 A				
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomplish Miles of road maintained:				
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:				
Number of structures maintained/improved: Several, dirt tanks across the Douglas Ranger District Acres of soil productivity improved:				
Miles of stream/river restored/improved:				
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:				
Acres of native species reestablished:				
Acres of hazardous fuel treatment				
Miles of trail maintained:				
Miles of trial obliterated:				
Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduct	ion):			
Acres of rangeland improved: Depending on location a	nd cost per tank, 500–1,000 acres per tank = 15,000 acres			
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved: See above (15-20,000 acres)				

Version: April 2009

Acres of noxious weeds controlled:				
Timber volume generated (mbf):				
Jobs generated in full time equivalents (FTE) to near	rest tenth. One FTE is 52 forty hour weeks:			
People reached (for environmental education project	s/fire prevention):			
Direct economic activity benefit: Use of local heavy providing water for livestock so ranchers have more	y equipment operators to complete the work, as well as viable livestock operations.			
Other:				
	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: 07/15/2012			
will be asked to contribute dollar-for-dollar to collaboratively pay for the tanks to be maintained. The Forest Service will contribute up to \$2,000.00 per tank. 18. Identify benefits to communities: Improve water quality downstream of these tanks by catching sediment, reinforce dams so large flood events don't destroy the dams causing significant downstream flooding and property damage, and provide job opportunities for local heavy equipment operators. 19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? By creating/maintaining water sources for wildlife, as well as maintaining water sources for livestock to increase distribution and help prevent concentration and localized overgrazing. This project also benefits watershed resources by helping to prevent downstream sediment flow and helping to reduce catastrophic flooding caused by structure failure. Fire suppression and management activities will also benefit due to the increased presence of				
20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accor	mplishment? (check at least 1)			
X Contract	X Federal Workforce			
County Workforce	Volunteers			
Grant	Agreement			
Americorps YCC/CCC Crews				
☐ Job Corps ☐ Stewardship Contract				
Merchantable Timber Pilot	X Other (specify): Permittees or other local heavy equipment operators			
21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Timber? Yes X No				
22. Anticipated Project Costs				
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$30,000.00				
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes X No				

Version: April 2009

23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding: Federally allocated funding to oversee operations and permittee funding to match dollar-for-dollar what is spent on each tank.

24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment)

a. Provide a plan that describes your process for tracking and explaining the effects of this project on your environmental and community goals outlined above:

Initially, an inspection will be made to determine if the work done on each tank was completed according to the specifications. Following that, annual inspections are made of all structural range improvements to determine their condition and functionality.

b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:

Forest Service personnel will conduct the monitoring of the structures themselves and the rangeland surrounding them on a yearly basis in concurrence with grazing allotment inspections.

c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):

See below

25.]	Identify	remedies i	for failure	to comply wi	th the terms	of the agreement
--------------	-----------------	------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	------------------

If project cannot be completed under the terms of this agreement:

P	J
X	Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.
	Other, please explain:

Project Recommended By:

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Chairperson

Resource Advisory Committee

Project Approved By:

/s/ (INSERT Signature)
Forest Supervisor

National Forest

Version: April 2009

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$4,500			\$4,500
b. NEPA/CEQA	Not req'd.			
c. ESA Consultation	N/A			
d. Permit Acquisition	N/A			
e. Project Design & Engineering	\$4,500			\$4,500
f. Contract/Grant Preparation	\$300			\$300
g. Contract/Grant Administration	\$750			\$750
h. Contract/Grant Cost	N/A			
i. Salaries	N/A			
j. Materials & Supplies	N/A			
k. Monitoring	\$5,000			\$5,000
Other 1. Partner Indirect Cost			At least \$30,000 at a 1 to 1 match	\$30,000
m. Project Sub-Total		\$30,000		\$30,000
n. FS Indirect Costs	N/A			
Total Cost Estimate	\$15,050	\$30,000		\$75,050

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- ${\tt n.}$ Forest Service indirect costs, including contracting/grant officer costs if needed.

Version: April 2009 5